

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELVIRA GARCIA GAMBOA,
Plaintiff,
v.
GREYHOUND BUS LINES, CORP.
Defendant.

No. 2:23-cv-01411-DC-SCR

ORDER

On August 27, 2025, Judge Coggins adopted the findings and recommendations to grant in part and deny in part Defendants' motion to dismiss and referred the matter back to the undersigned for all further pretrial proceedings. ECF No. 52. Individual Defendants Wickman, Leach, and Milan have been dismissed from this action. ECF No. 52 at 3. This action will now proceed as to Defendant Greyhound Bus Lines on Plaintiff's claim under the Carmack Amendment. Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **October 2, 2025 at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court in Courtroom 27.
2. Not later than fourteen (14) days prior to the Status Conference, the parties shall file either a joint, or individual, status report(s) addressing the following matters:

- a. Service of process;
 - b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
 - c. Any expected or desired amendment of the pleadings;
 - d. Jurisdiction and venue;
 - e. Anticipated motions and their scheduling;
 - f. How much discovery has taken place thus far, including whether written discovery has been served, or depositions taken;
 - g. The report required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 outlining the proposed discovery plan and its scheduling, including disclosure of expert witnesses;
 - h. Future proceedings, including setting appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and law and motion, and the scheduling of a pretrial conference and trial;
 - i. Special procedures, if any;
 - j. Estimated trial time;
 - k. Modification of standard pretrial procedures specified by the rules due to the simplicity or complexity of the proceedings;
 - l. Whether the case is related to any other cases, including bankruptcy;
 - m. Whether a settlement conference should be scheduled;
 - n. Whether counsel will stipulate to the magistrate judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement judge and waiving disqualification by virtue of his so acting, or whether they prefer to have a settlement conference before another judge;
 - o. Any other matters that may add to the just and expeditious disposition of this matter
3. The parties are informed that they may, if all consent, have this case tried by a United States Magistrate Judge while preserving their right to appeal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). The form for consent to trial by a magistrate judge is attached. Consent forms should be returned to the Clerk of the Court within 21 days of this order.

////

1 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to provide copies of the “Consent to Proceed Before
2 United States Magistrate Judge” with this order.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: August 29, 2025

5 
6 SEAN C. RIORDAN
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28